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1. Context, aims and approach

1.1 Context

The Wellcome Trust (Wellcome) and the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) through its AESA initiative jointly commissioned this research to explore the landscape of research leadership and associated training programmes available across Africa and make recommendations to all stakeholders on how they can play their part in developing the next generation and building the capacity and capability of current African research leaders.

Wellcome is a politically and financially independent foundation that supports researchers, takes on big health challenges, campaigns for better science, and helps everyone get involved with science and health research.

The AAS is a non-aligned, non-political, not-for-profit pan-African organisation whose vision is to see transformed lives on the African continent through science. The Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) is an initiative of AAS and the New Partnership for Africa's Development (NEPAD) Agency. AESA's mission is to catalyse investments, strategies and programmes that promote the brightest minds in Africa, foster scientific excellence, inspire research leadership and accelerate innovation in ways that will improve lives and shift the centre of gravity for African science to Africa.

Theories of leadership and models of leadership capacity-building have been developed primarily in high-income contexts, with comparatively little research on leadership in low-income countries. Studies of African researchers and research leaders suggest a number of challenges leading to poor conceptualisation and delivery of research leadership development by institutions. Such challenges include a lack of clarity on:

- the type of leadership development appropriate to researchers in Africa, ensuring it is culturally and contextually relevant
- effective delivery mechanisms (e.g. use of training providers, secondment opportunities, comparative costs).

1.2 Aims and scope

Wellcome and AESA's aim for the project was to support the capacity-building capabilities for researchers within the African continent, with institutions able to use the recommendations to benchmark future leadership development opportunities, such as secondments and mentorships, for their researchers and provide first-class training.

This scoping study was tasked with identifying:

- the skills required to be a successful research leader
- the current landscape of major leadership training programmes across Africa
- the successes and challenges of developing the capacity of individuals
- potential benefits from a comprehensive approach to leadership programmes
- obstacles and risks to comprehensive leadership programmes, including funding issues
- scope of potential investment in comprehensive leadership training
• the nature and range of inputs which would deliver beneficial outcomes.

Our objectives were to:

• identify important research leaders across Africa; determine the characteristics that make them a successful leader; and understand how their environment/context has impacted on their success
• determine the core competencies, skills and experiences required to be a successful research leader in Africa
• map the current landscape of leadership training/development options available for research organisations
• provide recommendations on how institutions can best support the leadership development of their researchers going forward.

The project deliverables include a presentation of findings to a group of funders and selected representatives from low- and middle-income countries’ (LMIC) institutions in relevant African countries, accompanied by a project summary document (appendix A).

1.3 Approach
We undertook a mixed method, triangulation approach to capture and synthesise a range of perspectives. Our leadership paradigm was based a conception of research leadership as a continuous learning journey on a path with defined stages and transition points: early career (research students and postdoctoral researchers); mid-career (leading a research team) and senior (leading large teams or organisations).

The inception phase enabled us to finalise our analytical framework to:

• include a range of institutional research contexts by sampling in several countries and including universities and research institutes in qualitative research
• explore underpinning structures and stages of the path to leadership to enable participants and organisations to maximise gain from leadership development at different career stages
• examine elements of institutional support such as research management, mentoring and coaching, action learning, recognition and promotion
• seek to identify characteristics and competencies of research leaders appropriate to different leadership stages
• consider the gender dimension in the path to research leadership in Africa.

Qualitative interviews and focus groups were complemented by a quantitative-based survey. A team of expert consultants in Southern, East and West Africa conducted the interviews and focus groups. The results of the interviews, focus groups and survey built on findings from the literature review of research leadership in Africa and a web search of current leadership training and development opportunities for research leaders.

1.3.1 Design of research tools
In designing our research tools we chose to use a research leadership model – the Vitae Researcher Development Framework (RDF); specifically, its ‘leadership lens’ – that is based on building competencies through a series of development phases (appendix B). Developed
in the UK, the RDF model has been used successfully in a range of international contexts. It was tested for applicability for this project with a pilot focus group of DELTAS research leaders (1.3.3). The RDF was then used in all parts of data gathering: interviews, focus groups and survey.

When designing the survey, in addition to questions based on the RDF, we used leadership themes and components derived from the DELTAS pilot focus group. Through analysis of the results of these complementary approaches we have developed a potential model for research leadership geared to African needs and priorities.

1.3.2 Participants
Our approach sampled the views of a range of stakeholders - research leaders, early and middle career researchers, research managers, senior management and funders. The researchers all worked in the health sciences, and were largely from institutions in East, West and Southern Africa. A small number of survey respondents were based in North Africa. Most participants were based in Anglophone regions.

In identifying successful research leaders for interview, we used the definition ‘research leaders who are established in their research field, running large research groups, leading large research teams and/or managing large research facilities’. These included, for example, AAS Fellows and/or other National Academy Fellows and DELTAS consortia research leaders.

Focus group participants (early and mid-career researchers and research managers) were identified through local project team networks in Cote d’Ivoire, Uganda, South Africa and Ghana.

Research students as well as researchers, research leaders and research managers were invited to participate in the survey through the networks of the local experts in each participating country, as well as research and innovation management associations across Africa.

1.3.3 Project outline
Project phases were as follows:

i. Inception and research design including:
   • pilot focus group at the DELTAS Annual Conference in Johannesburg in 2018 with 11 senior research leaders, directors and funders to elicit themes and question areas
   • use and validation at the pilot focus group of the Vitae Researcher Development Framework leadership competencies (appendix B)
   • design of interview, focus group and survey questions drawing on the above

ii. Data gathering
   • Literature search to understand the range of perceptions and research about ‘successful research leadership’ in Africa
   • 24 semi-structured one-hour interviews with selected successful research leaders in eight African countries, by local in-country expert interviewers
   • 27 participants across four focus groups (Cote d’Ivoire, Ghana, South Africa and Uganda) with local in-country expert facilitators
• Survey of the views of those in research-related roles in Africa, including research students, researchers, research leaders and research managers: target response 250
• Desk-based research into current leadership training and development programmes run by external (non-institutional) providers

iii. Analysis
• Synthesis of findings to develop and recommend potential models/frameworks for the development of the research leadership path in Africa
• Creation of case studies of existing leadership provision in Africa that illustrate alignment of provision to researchers’ leadership development needs
• Note areas for further study

iv. Presentation of project, key findings and recommendations to the DELTAS Annual Conference in Dakar in 2019

v. Final report, further outputs and next steps, including:
• reporting on potential implementation routes for recommended models
• developing at least three peer reviewed publications as per Wellcome’s open access guidelines

1.3.4 Data-gathering mapped to project aims
Table 1 summarises how each element of the data-gathering phase contributed to project objectives

| Table 1 Matrix showing contribution of each research strand to project objectives |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                  | Determining characteristics of successful research leaders | How environment/context impact on success | Core competencies and experiences required | Current landscape of leadership training & development |
| Pilot focus group with DELTAS research leaders | x | x | x |
| Literature review of research leadership in Africa | x | x | x |
| Interviews with successful research leaders | x | x | x |
| Researcher focus groups | x | x |
| Survey of those in research-related roles in Africa | x | x | x |
| Mapping the landscape of leadership training programmes | | x | x |

Further detail on our approach is given in appendix C, including: sampling design, participants and ethical clearance; and design and content of interviews, focus groups and survey.
2. Current research leadership landscape

2.1 The African research landscape

Researchers are a scarce resource in Africa. According to UNESCO\textsuperscript{v}, the global average of researchers per million inhabitants is 1,478 (2015): in Africa, only Tunisia (2000 researchers) exceeds the average. Below the average, Morocco is closest (1,100) followed by Egypt (680), Senegal (550) and South Africa (494). In multiple countries in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), the average is fewer than 50 researchers per million inhabitants.

Participants in our study were very largely based in SSA, and we therefore focus in this section on data\textsuperscript{vi} to contextualise the experiences that they report through the interviews, focus groups and survey. Although we are concerned with researchers in the health sciences, an overview of research infrastructure across the piece is relevant for an appreciation of the institutional and national issues that impact on improving the path to research leadership in these disciplines.

Research infrastructure across much of SSA is underdeveloped. The African Union has set a target of 1% GDP investment in research (world average 1.68%, 2014). Data suggests that in SSA only South Africa, Kenya and Senegal are nearing the target; each currently invests around 0.8%. Most higher education (HE) institutions prioritise teaching: HE expansion has generally focused on undergraduate and master’s levels rather than doctorates.

Despite these resource challenges, SSA share of the global output in research papers increased from 0.44% in 2003 to 0.72% in 2012. Health sciences have the largest share in all sub-regions except South Africa. International collaboration accounts for most of the research output (for example, over 60% in East Africa and Southern Africa). Intra-regional collaboration between researchers of African countries accounted for below 15%, thus indicating that networked communities of African academics are still exceptional.

An increase in PhD enrolments seen in some countries is being driven by government policies to raise qualification levels in the HE workforce. Such policies are operating at different speeds. For example, in Ethiopia, where only 8% of HE staff were PhD-qualified, PhD enrolments as a proportion of all HE enrolments has risen to almost 8%. In South Africa, Ghana and Kenya, in contrast, PhD enrolments form less than 2% of total enrolments. In these countries, PhD-qualified personnel range from 31% in Ghana to 43% in South Africa.

2016 UNESCO data indicates that only a quarter of academic staff in tertiary education across sub-Saharan Africa are women\textsuperscript{vi}. There is considerable variation between countries in SSA, from 37% in Botswana to less than 10% in many. Gender equity is hampered by cultural expectations and lack of governmental and institutional support. Senegal is one exception, where the government’s PAPES programme to support women researchers and researcher-teachers includes funding to help women complete doctoral research\textsuperscript{vii}. The South African government has also supported women researchers with a competitive research chairs programme targeting women scientists\textsuperscript{viii}.

PhD provision tends to be concentrated in a small number of elite universities, normally the best resourced institutions with access to international/donor networks. In the policies for,
and allocation of, government funding for research capacity-building there appears to be a frequent tension between improving efficiency and improving equity.

The great majority of doctoral candidates in SSA are mature students already working in academia or the public sector. Master’s to PhD conversion rates are low. Most doctoral candidates are self-funded. Government grant support is at low levels in most countries. Drop-out rates are high and time to completion relatively long. However, those that graduate do tend to remain in academia: there is little attrition to industry.

SSA’s doctoral model largely follows the European ‘research apprentice’ model. Typical challenges reported by early career researchers (ECRs) include: lack of finance for doctoral summer schools and international conferences; finding a suitable supervisor with relevant experience; and frequency and quality of supervisory meetings – thus highlighting a need for supervisory skills training. Doctoral training includes use of visiting scholars to provide intensive blocks of research training, perhaps especially so where rising enrolments outstrip supervisory capacity.

International collaborations to build research and researcher capacity have traditionally been South-North models (including for example academic exchanges and doctoral training for African researchers situated in the global North). South-South collaborations are still rare. Notable examples include The Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA, see 2.3), which has somewhat broadened from an original focus on public health disciplines, and the pan-discipline African Doctoral Academy PhD training hub based at Stellenbosch University in South Africa, funded by the Carnegie Corporation.

2.2 Characteristics of research leadership and the African context

Our literature review of research leadership in Africa (appendix D) provided insights into leadership styles, expected competencies of research leaders, the gendered perspectives of research leadership and the experiences of early career researchers from Africa. Key points are summarised here.

2.2.1 What does research leadership look like?

Research leadership goes beyond generic leadership concerns (such as with vision and strategy) to encompass issues specific to the research ecosystem; for example, building linkages with policy makers, being an effective ambassador for science, and engaging with other communities to influence research and its resourcing. Strong leadership will catalyse researchers, teams and organisations to be more successful.

Top research leaders are at the forefront of their field in terms of publication quality and number, attract large research grants, supervise and mentor graduate students and successfully implement large-scale research programmes. They are further distinguished by translating their research findings into outputs that benefit communities and other stakeholders, such as policy that addresses real world challenges or intellectual property developed into products and services. At the highest levels, research leaders play a central role in influencing, transforming and strengthening institutional, national and international research systems.

In the global research system, there is large consensus on what top research leaders do. How they achieve this, however, may be context dependent. As stated in 1.1, the dominant
It cannot be assumed that leadership as perceived in the global North is applicable in Africa. Cultural influences in leadership styles and preferences impact leadership in Africa, and so consideration of leadership pathways includes existing cultural beliefs and practices.

Common or most admired styles of leadership in Africa are value-based, team-orientated and participative. Consultative leadership that pursues public or communal goals gains wide approval. Charismatic, aspirational or visionary leadership and a sense of patriotism feature strongly in these characterisations. Pursuing goals related to community service and not self-serving is seen to require self-awareness of leadership capacities and a relations-oriented style of leadership.

Some researchers go further to suggest that African leadership is conceptualised differently; that it is communally constructed rather than focused on the individual. In this view, concentrating on styles, attributes and behaviours of the research leader results in an imbalanced understanding of leadership in the real sense, undermining the communal/collective roles played in decision making. Such leadership applies communal goals with transparency and accountability; combining different skills and knowledge.

Findings from a survey of African research leaders and team members suggests, however, that leadership that is communally constructed, manifesting highly democratic/collective decision-making, may not resonate greatly in the sector. The most favoured leadership style was found to be relations-oriented rather than democratic/participative. In relations-oriented leadership, the leader’s focus on building relationships is central to individual and team performance. Consultation is important, but the individual leader is responsible for decision-making. Other leadership styles found by the same study to be less favoured were paternalistic leadership, laissez-faire leadership and task-orientated leadership.

The nature of successful research leaders’ interactions would be explored in our interviews to help further understand the most favoured leadership styles in the African academic research context.

2.2.3 Research leadership gender dynamics
Leadership in research is a challenge for women in Africa. Issues include: underrepresentation in leadership positions as a result of institutional policies and practices which limit opportunities; challenges around academic promotion or progression; the delay in attaining postgraduate qualifications, especially a PhD; discriminatory practices; gendered processes; exclusion from career development opportunities; prejudice about academic abilities and intellectual authority; and ‘gender-insensitive pedagogical processes’.

Discrimination is typically clandestine, abstract and/or intangible. Gender imbalance is especially common in the more competitive faculties and departments (for example, in
STEM disciplines). Women’s ‘transferable skill’ management characteristics enable increased capacity to influence managerial/administrative decisions but are not important or valued in a competitive management culture focused on research output.

In negotiating these systemic issues, women academic leaders regard listening, good verbal and written skills, decisiveness, the ability to empower others, and collaboration as critical to function effectively as academic and managerial leaders. Role models and mentor-mentee relationships enable women to develop knowledge and skills for leadership, including navigation of gender barriers in relation to leadership roles.

2.2.4 Research leadership characteristics and competencies
The review was only able to identify three publications that consider the characteristics of African research leaders. We have mapped their key findings onto the RDF leadership competencies (table 2), which describe leadership competencies in terms of leadership of self, leadership of others, and leadership of research excellence.

Table 2 Competencies, behaviours and qualities of research leaders and leaders in Africa identified in the literature review mapped to the Vitae Researcher Development Framework (RDF)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDF</th>
<th>Literature review*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leadership of self</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsibility</td>
<td>Strategic thinker, accountable to the people they lead, multilingual, English proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-reflection</td>
<td>Self-awareness, ambitious and tenacious, goal-oriented, globally minded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation &amp; esteem</td>
<td>Strong sense of integrity, being an expert in your field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthusiasm</td>
<td>Love of subject, enthusiastic, sense of anticipation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership of others</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influence &amp; leadership</td>
<td>Aspirational or visionary leadership, interpersonal skills, just, compassionate, transparent, open-minded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public engagement</td>
<td>Communication skills, embraces publicity and visibility, for the common good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy engagement</td>
<td>Ability to translate research results into policy, sense of patriotism, oriented towards social justice and human rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team working</td>
<td>Team skills, collaboration and networks, care for people, respectful towards diversity, for the common good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring</td>
<td>Develop young leaders, share knowledge and experiences with the young or entire community, supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership of research excellence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject knowledge</td>
<td>Authority in subject, ethical research conduct, competent, problem solving, being an expert in your field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income funding &amp; generation</td>
<td>Winning grants applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures &amp; resources</td>
<td>Technologically savvy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation &amp; prioritisation</td>
<td>Knowledgeable in research methodology, time management skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project planning</td>
<td>Delivering outputs on time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluating</td>
<td>Critical thinking skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (Curry et al., 2012; E. K. Niemczyk, 2018; Owusu et al., 2017).

The outcomes confirm that Africa research leadership can be considered a combination of leading self, leading others and leading research excellence. Of particular note is the section...
on leading others, which highlights the leadership responsibilities for developing the next generation, ensuring diversity, and the common good. This maps closely to the relational style of leadership identified above (2.2.2).

2.3 Research leadership training provision

Our mapping of current provision for African research leaders suggests that, when considered against the leadership characteristics and competencies discussed above, there are significant gaps in the conceptualisation and availability of research leadership training.

Here we consider training and development programmes designed and delivered by external providers. Information about institutional training provision is indicated in sections (3.1.9) and (3.4.7). Overall, we found that external programmes focused largely on leadership of research or on generic leadership/management skills, rather than both, and that availability is highly limited (appendix E illustrates further). A lack of programmes geared to the needs of current and future African research leaders mirrors the picture of provision for leaders across higher education in general noted by, for example, Education Sub Saharan Africaix (ESSA).

2.3.1 Focus on research expertise and research development

Programmes that are specifically for research leadership development tend to be focused on research advancement and technical skills with less attention to transferable skill areas such as communication or people management. For example, the Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA) programme develops research-specific key skills such as critical thinking, data management and data presentation, alongside some professional development.

2.3.2 Programmes focused on leadership skills but not research

Across the African continent there are several examples of organisations that offer individual leadership development, such as the Centre for Creative Leadership and the Centre for African Leadership. However, these are aimed broadly at business and public sectors, not contextualised for academia. Some programmes that focus on the public sector may be more relevant. PWC, for example, offers leadership development and consultancy for top team management which may be relevant to senior research leaders, but these programmes are costly.

2.3.3 Leadership programmes for women

We found few examples of programmes designed for women leaders, and none for women working in academia. One was the Women in Leadership event from the Centre for International Development and Training (CIDT, University of Wolverhampton, UK), designed to ‘address the unique challenges women face in leadership positions and to create enabling conditions to address them’.

2.3.4 Global leadership development organisations

Some relevant leadership development approaches stem from global initiatives, such as the Global Young Academy (GYA), featured in the box below. This organisation’s national groups include African countries and it has pan-African initiatives, notably the African Science Leadership Programme (ASLP)x. Such opportunities are highly competitive and extremely limited in reach across the African researcher population. For recruitment to the latest round of ASLP, 650 applications were received in relation to 20 placesxii.
One initiative that focuses on broad leadership skills within an underpinning academic research development process is the GYA’s African Science Leadership Programme (ASLP). A programme to develop mid-career researchers, it is based on the recognition that ‘the kinds of skills needed to lead projects with diverse, multidisciplinary teams include reflective practice, strategic planning, engagement with a host of stakeholders, effective communication, and the ability to foster a culture of collaboration’. Annual residential workshops are combined with mentoring and project work. Participants, all exceptional emerging research leaders, span the discipline spectrum. Its participants and alumni comprise more than 50% women.

2.3.5 External programme comparison
Table 3 illustrates how a selection of external programmes map against development of 1. research, 2. self as a researcher and 3. leadership. In view of the theme of gender identified by the research, the table also includes development programmes designed for women. The programmes chosen as illustrations each have at least one strong element of what might constitute an effective external research leadership programme. Their content, scope and availability are outlined in appendix E. As shown in table 3, none of the programmes on their own cover all the elements contained in our concept of holistic research leadership.
Table 3 Examples of training and development programmes relevant to research leadership in Africa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Research development</th>
<th>Researcher self-development</th>
<th>Leadership development (relational transferable skills)</th>
<th>Women self-development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 DELTAS Africa (Developing Excellence in Leadership, Training and Science Africa)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 CIRCLE (Climate Impact Research Capacity and Leadership Enhancement, AESA)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 CARTA (Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 FLAIR (Future Leaders African Independent Research)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 AMARI (The African Mental Health Research Initiative)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 GYA (Global Young Academy)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 AAU (Association African Universities)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 CCL (Centre for Creative Leadership)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 CALD (Centre for African Leadership Development)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 CIDT Women in Leadership Course</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 PWC – Africa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 The Knowledge Academy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3.6 Programme design and implementation
Studies examined in the literature review made wide-ranging recommendations on best practice in both research and researcher development. At programme conceptualization, views from local stakeholders must be incorporated to ensure institutional ownership, and design be well-tailored to fit differing needs (e.g. of disciplines, career stages and qualifications). Platforms of engagement and networking are very important. Capacity building should include international collaboration, postdoctoral research support and targeted research grants. Programmes need to consider from the outset that potential setbacks to success include funding challenges, limited administrative support and lack of a framework to monitor and evaluate goals. More widespread use of local consortium approaches could strengthen general research infrastructure, create platforms for academic mentorship for early career researchers and build overall research capacity in African universities.
Since the publication of these studies, AAS has continued to develop collaborative, capacity building programmes such as the Research Management Programme in Africa (ReMPRA) and DELTAS itself. Most programmes have elements of research leadership as well as capacity strengthening. Among new AAS programmes under development is a research management systems programme to improve efficiencies in grant submission and financial management for academic staff involved in research. Another developing initiative is a pan-African research ethics body. At individual researcher level, one pan-African approach to strengthening research capacity and researcher careers is the AAS Affiliates Programme.

3. Results

Across the project we gained the views of 330 individuals. Participants were based in 25 African countries. They included 35 senior research leaders (interviewees and pilot focus group). 29% of the participants in interviews and focus groups were women (18/62); women made up 48% of survey respondents. Women’s participation in the survey in particular is notably higher than their representation in the African academic workforce (see 2.1).

3.1 Interviews

The interviews with 24 successful research leaders explored different stages on the path to research leadership, complementing the insights gained by the survey and focus groups by probing subtle and contextual issues in participants’ career and development journeys. Interviewees worked in institutions in Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana, Uganda, South Africa, Kenya, Nigeria, Zimbabwe and Tanzania.

Questions covered the areas of personal motivations, challenges and competencies; personal and institutional actions for gender inclusion; institutional actions needed for transforming the leadership path in African research; and what would have been useful to know along the way to becoming effective leaders of the next generation. Appendix F gives further detail.

3.1.1 Creating a personal vision and career plan

A common interview thread was the theme of career ownership and planning: from an early stage interviewees made deliberate choices to advance their development towards leadership. Early realisation of a personal vision and mission for their research led them to strategic choices in career development, often but not necessarily taking them outside Africa at doctoral and (sometimes) postdoctoral stages before returning ‘home’ to be research leaders. Participants made a distinction between two routes to international recognition: one through becoming a research leader; the other through becoming an ‘international researcher’. Whether or not they had spent part of their career

“the decision to come back home was also critical because I could have stayed in the US and still be one of the many research associates or whatever. But I decided to come back to the University and start my own research group and tried to make a difference.”

Interview participant
outside Africa, all interviewees described a strong personal commitment to the African
continent.

3.1.2 Stages in leadership development: transitions and enablers
Interviewees identified common transition points and developmental experiences on their
paths to research leadership. Agreed transition points were: completing a doctorate; gaining
postdoctoral experience; getting leadership responsibility; leading a team. In later career,
researchers led other leaders (where managing, for example, major programmes); some had
moved a stage further to lead organisations such as research institutes. In order to achieve
these various career transitions all interviewees cited gaining the requisite research capital,
with special mention of skills in writing for publication and grant applications and accessing
funding.

At each career transition, the individual needs to change and develop new competencies to
undertake new responsibilities. For example, when leading others, the focus of time
management shifts from one’s own work to that of others, and alters the relative importance
of different aspects of one’s workload. Many participants reported they had no training or
support in transitions. Where they did, commonly mentioned enablers were access to
mentors, international opportunities, or gaining experience in another sector.

3.1.3 Personal effectiveness and systemic challenges
Personal qualities were agreed to be important in research leadership to achieve personal
effectiveness, but this could be hampered by factors such as institutional barriers and
culture, balancing priorities in their academic roles to focus on research, and difficult
relationships with collaborators. Challenges relating to leaders’ African experiences were
scholarly isolation, lack of visibility and limited access to ‘like-minded’ researchers. All
participants expressed a passion for their research, which helped them through difficult
times. Elements of personal effectiveness of particular relevance to research leadership
development included: continual learning from others; effective multi-directional
communication; becoming a role model; and research talent capacity building.

As research leaders develop and take on more responsibility, their personal visibility
increases and hence their potential as a role model. Communication that is effective in many
directions embraces the institution, partners, their team and stakeholders.

Self-management was considered important, especially in work-life balance, time
management, and avoiding complacency and becoming out of date. Solutions offered
included taking time for self-reflection, conducting constant personal evaluation with a
view to improve, and learning from co-creating projects and wider multi-directional
learning. Participants realised that effective learning was also multidirectional. They
frequently expressed concerns about being able to find the time for continual self-
development, a recurrent theme for participants balancing multiple work roles and
personal life.

There were multiple comments about under-optimisation of research activity within
universities, with many concerns around research governance, management and funding.
Key challenges for research leaders were reported as: influencing senior management;
maintaining reputation and credibility; scarce resources including skilled and
knowledgeable colleagues; and lack of financial support to secure international linkages. Managing risk was also highlighted as a key challenge in the group consultations with DELTAS research leaders. Strategies to deal with these challenges variously required awareness, monitoring, problem solving, influencing skills, reflective learning, maintaining personal values, and being creative with limited resources.

### 3.1.4 Engagement, influence and impact

These areas were considered very important determinants in a research leadership position. Impact was seen to require global engagement, either as a research leader, or as an internationally recognised researcher, and institutional support necessary in building an international presence. As described in 3.1.3, commonly stated challenges included research governance and management, as well as sustainability. Interviewees cited challenges of bureaucracy, lack of investment in relevant knowledge and skills, and of mobilising collective effort. Leadership responses required innovative approaches, involving others, and effective communication.

As in other parts of the interviews, participants underscored the importance of values. These encompassed ‘shared ethics and world views’ between research partners and leading by example within the research team. Focus on values applied at all levels of engagement, influence and impact – from global to local.

### 3.1.5 Fundamental leadership principles

The interviews probed examples of globally-recognised leadership principles in the areas of 1. values, 2. relationships, 3. resilience and 4. taking action. The most common qualities identified in these areas included:

1. integrity (ethical and principle-led work habits); credibility; vision
2. relationship-management; developing others; decision fairness; outcome concern
3. self-awareness; self-management; lifelong learning
4. mobilising others; results focus.

### 3.1.6 Competencies of a research leader

There was much discussion about the competencies of research leaders, using the RDF for reference. Participants found the framework useful in thinking about building research capacity and mentoring others towards research leadership.

All the competencies presented in the RDF were viewed as important and there was some difficulty in selecting priority competencies, as these may depend on context. In general, however, the highest priority competencies were seen to be knowledge base, cognitive abilities, creativity, personal qualities, professional conduct, research management, finance,
funding and resources, working with others, and engagement and impact. Examples of how participants described these competencies are given in figure 1.
Interviewees repeatedly indicated that building a ‘pillar’ of research excellence should be the predominant focus of the start of the path to research leadership. On the later stages, there was general agreement that research leadership is the integration of competencies to deal with complex tasks, as summarised in the box below. Emerging and established research leaders must bring together competencies that go beyond the day-to-day research to lead research, researchers, programmes and institutes effectively.

**Research leadership is:**
- a blend of competencies
- knowing how to harness the competencies in a team
- multi-tasking in all directions to keep oversight of research and internal/external environments
- creating good relationships to improve the research environment and team, and enhance research output

**Research leadership requires competencies for complex tasks:**
- Become recognised internationally for research
- Create an environment that enables research productivity
- Enhance local contexts in collaboration with other local institutions
- Enable women who are constrained by cultural and normative gender roles
- Set the research agenda
- Navigate environments that are not always enabling and are highly competitive

### 3.1.7 Reflecting on own career

Participants concluded that more structured career planning and a proactive approach to building their research profile would have been welcome, as would preparation for trying to balance work and personal life. Navigating cultural and institutional environments impacted all stages of participants’ careers. For the women research leaders, navigating these complex environments also required managing societal expectations and family responsibilities. Proactively developing good work relationships with colleagues was important.

Curating research profiles and trajectories on academic community platforms such as ResearchGate and Academia.edu was not part of the culture of research capacity building when the senior academics were building their careers and so, when questioned, they felt a disconnect with this culture.

### 3.1.8 Advice to the next generation of research leaders

Reflections and advice for the next generation about how to develop researcher leadership fell into three categories: training and development routes, research knowledge and practice, and personal qualities researchers need to develop. Table 4 gives a summary.
Table 4 Interviewee advice to the next generation of researchers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training and development routes</th>
<th>Mentorship, find role models. Timely formal leadership and management training supported along with experiential learning (courses not enough)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optimising research expertise</td>
<td>Scientific grounding/subject knowledge, sound research planning, evidence of track record, undertake peer review, writing academically, publishing/co-publishing, learn how to attract research funding and manage grants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal qualities to be developed</td>
<td>Collaborative, focus, resourcefulness, competitiveness – stand out, strategic and critical thinking, perseverance, open mindedness, problem focus, self-belief, respect for others, passion, assertiveness, sensitivity to ethical approaches – including gender</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants recommended researchers seek out a number of diverse routes to develop their leadership potential. It was stressed that at the same time as building their research profile, researchers have to be open minded: all the while giving attention to broader aspects of their research (using strategic and critical thinking and ethical sensitivity) and their personal development.

3.1.9 Existing training provision
Mentorship and mentorship programmes featured strongly in the discussions of institutional support for research development. International collaboration featured as did examples of formal, structured leadership training (e.g. the Perfect Programme at the University of Zimbabwe\textsuperscript{vi}). Examples of training for specific topics (such as grant writing) included both face-to-face and online training. Funding for internships, work opportunities or time off work were mentioned as in place and/or important to put in place. Funded programmes included small grants in the area of primary health care, PhD grants, and sabbaticals.

Research training schemes for early career researchers existed at institute and school level at some institutions. These schemes implicitly included the goals of training research leaders. Most of these schemes were funded by external funders (usually US or European based), but delivered by local researchers in collaboration, in some cases, with northern partners. The focus was primarily on developing research capability, rather than specifically on leadership development.

3.1.10 Extending approaches to developing early career research leaders
Participants spoke frequently of the value of experiential learning for early career researchers and providing an enabling environment that included a critical mass of other research leaders from whom to learn. There was a consensus that broader structural support was needed at the university level to create equitable and gender-sensitive models of research leadership training and research capacity building. Talent management was thought an important means to develop research leaders.

Researchers needed help in creating networks and gaining exposure with established researchers. Extending mentorship and training opportunities were frequently recommended. Researchers – especially women – should be encouraged to take up such opportunities. Researchers should also be given responsibilities, including teams to lead and other tasks targeted on developing their leadership potential such as brokering.
international opportunities. More small grants for early career researchers would provide further developmental opportunities.

3.1.11 Identifying leadership potential
Identification of leadership potential was by informal means: observing how researchers work, interact, and present their work as well as reading their research outputs. Assessment of potential was a combination of how research activity was conducted (such as clear/decisive thinker; results-driven; ability to adapt to institution dynamic) and personal qualities (such as forward looking attitude, challenge oneself to improve, drive and tenacity).

3.1.12 Increasing the number of women research leaders
Participants were asked to describe current practices and recommend practices to increase the number of women research leaders.

In terms of current practices, approaches to supporting women were fairly limited to personal one-to-one supportive interventions. More strategic approaches or policies may exist at institutional level but were not referenced by participants. Regarding what might be done, participants made wide-ranging recommendations:

Changes in policy and practice such as:

- national policies around women researchers and the opportunities available to them as interventions to address marginalisation of women researchers at institute/school level
- specific efforts to identify women with leadership potential and develop them
- promoting equal opportunity and recruitment through a quota system for women
- mainstreaming gender in all research activities and make including women mandatory in research funding
- creating enabling environments and programmesxvii.

The challenges identified by interviewees commonly focused on gender pre-determined roles in the family and home, rather than issues in the research environment. Women were expected to ‘first sort out’ their home and social responsibilities and obligations: aspiring men research leaders did not have these constraints.

Mindsets of women as well as men needed to change, so that women realise they can be a research leader. Culture change must start at primary school to enable girls and young women to feel able to take up challenges.

Suggestions for specific actions included postgraduate scholarships for women and dedicated mentoring programmes and other female-only schemes; special provision, such as when travel is required, provision for babies and nannies/larger rooms; gender-sensitive grant schemes.

3.1.13 Implications for future leadership development programmes
To summarise the key interview themes for future leadership development programmes:

- Research development and management
  - Rigorous research based on strong scientific purpose
Developing the vision: building a research profile
- Association with local and international institutions
- Good financial management

- Self- and career development
  - Learning from others: mentors and role models
  - Self-engagement with a career path and planning for leadership
  - Building an international presence
  - Achieving work-life balance

- People and environment
  - Working with others; developing relationships; building networks
  - Culture, values and environment
  - Navigating complex cultural and institutional environments

- Leadership focus
  - Awareness of leadership challenges
  - Learn leadership and management principles
  - Leadership competencies development.

In this context, it should be noted that interviews were wholly focused on present and past experience: research leaders were not asked to consider changes in the research environment that might have implications for the future design of leadership development. For example, discussion revolved around traditional scientific pathways and the scientific endeavours that are traditionally based and encouraged in the Academy, rather than newer and growing forms of engagement, such as interdisciplinary research and open science.

3.2 Focus groups
The four focus groups, where early career researchers were well-represented, added a ‘bottom-up’ perspective on the nature of research leadership and characteristics and competencies of research leaders. Participants discussed at length their own leadership development needs and priorities, systemic challenges to their fulfilment, and ways they could be met. Appendix F gives further detail.

3.2.1 ‘Good’ and ‘bad’ research leadership
There was consensus that research leadership involved leading a team, leading by example and creating the path for team members to achieve established goals of research; primarily, accessing grants, getting published and getting promoted.

‘Bad’ leadership was often experienced as lack of guidance. This stemmed from the leader’s lack of relevant expertise and/or from inaccessibility due to multiple work pressures:

Poor disciplinary knowledge and inability to guide the student within the disciplinary field... research leaders... constantly pressurised through multiple responsibilities... the pressure associated with the ‘numbers game’ within publishing and student throughput...”

Focus group participant

Figure 2 is a summary of participant views on what ‘good’ research leaders do, mapped to the RDF. Personal qualities for personal effectiveness (RDF domain B) are much mentioned. Among these, and also in domain D engagement, influence and impact, relational leadership competencies feature strongly. Partly
because leadership is relational, there is no ‘one-size-fits-all’ definition of successful research leadership: ‘within leadership there is a ‘fit’ to those who are led’.

Figure 2 Focus group views on the attributes of a ‘good’ research leader, mapped to RDF domains
3.2.2 Developing research leadership capabilities
In terms of developing as research leaders, participants would value training programmes, but as one element amongst several. Much mentioned were:

- collaboration and networking opportunities
- mentoring
- opportunity to manage a project as a principal investigator and to put their experiences into practice
- leadership and researcher development training, including such topics as fundraising strategies, communication, and skills for mentoring and networking
- funding for research projects.

3.2.3 Gender issues
Some participants stressed that while experiential learning approaches to leadership development are much valued, they must be built on transparency and equality of opportunity.

Views on barriers to increasing the number of women research leaders echoed the themes expressed by senior research leaders:

- a sense of women being ‘pushed down’ in professional pursuit
- the belief that women need to ‘sort out’ their home responsibilities first, whereas men don’t have this issue
- gender-pre-determined roles
- social responsibilities: childbearing, childcare, family obligations.

Participants made the following observations and recommendations:

- The importance of women in research teams for their good management of research
- Women’s chances of integrating in research teams would be increased through the development of new gender themes
- The creation and (where they exist) expansion of women’s research incentive grants
- Managing breaks in research records for caring responsibilities
- Inspiring women by training them in research leadership
  Appointing women to positions of responsibility in research.

3.2.4 Reflective learning reported by participants
Participants reported various insights from the focus groups, such as:

- recognising how the lived experience of being a supervisee or mentee shaped ideas about research leadership
- exploring the dos and don’ts of a good research leader and being able to ‘walk the talk’
- importance of: having self-determination and being driven; being ‘on top of your work’ with respect to publishing, grantsmanship and financial management; delegating and assigning tasks to avoid ‘burn out’.
As in the interviews, the RDF was valued as a framework for discussing research leadership competencies.

3.3 Survey: respondent profile
The survey gathered views from a wide geographical area and a balanced mix of those involved in research roles - research leaders, researchers, research students and research managers. The total number of responses (267) exceeded our target (250). Response by gender (52% men; 48% women) was balanced in most roles. 63% of respondents were based at universities, 28% at research institutions and 4% in the private sector.

3.3.1 Geographical distribution
24 African countries were represented, across a wide geographical spread but concentrated in sub-Saharan Africa, as shown in figure 3. The highest responses were received from Nigeria (51), Kenya (42) and South Africa (38), followed by Uganda (21) and Tanzania (20).

Figure 3 African countries where survey respondents were working
More women responded from Kenya, South Africa and Uganda, whereas more men responded from Nigeria, Tanzania and most of the countries that received fewer responses. The differences were not significant.

3.3.1 Research role and gender

Figure 4 illustrates the representation of respondent roles across the research community. The largest groupings were researcher (34%), research leader (30%) and doctoral researcher (26%). Some respondents reported multiple roles (15% as having two or more and 8% as three or more).

Table 5 illustrates the percentage of women in each research role. Highest percentages of women (over 50%) were in roles of research leaders, researchers, doctoral researchers or Fellows. Fewer women identified as research leader/research manager or postdoctoral researcher.

Table 5 Research roles with breakdown by gender (N=249)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role*</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>% Women in role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Research leader and manager</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research leader</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research manager</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral researcher</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postdoctoral researcher</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSc researcher</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher (academic staff)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No role/gender given</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Where multiple roles were declared, individuals were assigned to their most senior declared role.
3.4 Survey: results
The survey explored perceptions of the competencies and qualities required for successful research leadership and the current landscape of research leadership provision. It provided a mix of quantitative data around research leadership and institutional support along with a wealth of free text responses, summarised below. Further analysis is given in appendix G.

3.4.1 Leadership qualities
Qualities of senior research leadership in Africa identified from the pilot focus group of DELTAS leaders were provided to respondents, who were asked to rate their importance. There was considerable agreement about the most important qualities in a research leadership role (figure 5), with no significant gender differences.

As shown in figure 6, there was a high degree of consensus on the importance of all the qualities presented. The only requirements to receive >10% ‘disagree’ ratings were ‘business approach’, ‘creating high expectations’, and ‘previous experience of leadership’.

Figure 5 Requirements of senior leadership roles: >50% survey respondents strongly agree (darker shade of ‘doughnut’ is % strongly agree). Source: pilot focus group, DELTAS Annual Conference, 2018
Figure 6 Survey response: requirements of senior leadership roles.
Source of leadership qualities: pilot focus group, DELTAS Annual Conference, 2018

3.4.2 Leadership styles
The above leadership qualities above can be grouped into different leadership orientations. Congruent with the findings of the literature review, interviews and focus groups, relational qualities feature strongly (table 6).

Table 6 Analysis of leadership development by leadership orientations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leadership orientation</th>
<th>Leadership qualities – priorities of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relations-oriented</td>
<td>Looking out for the common good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Challenging researchers with new ideas and approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good interpersonal skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Creating high expectations</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engendering pride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Being a role model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modelling appropriate behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business-oriented</td>
<td>Developing vision and strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strong performance management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good managerial skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Business approach</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Job creation and sustainability *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research-oriented</td>
<td>Research excellence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Previous experience of leadership</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rated less highly by respondents (<50% ‘strongly agree’)}
3.4.3 Top ten competencies for leadership development programmes

Respondents were asked to select leadership competencies with the highest priority for inclusion in leadership development programmes, ranking them 1–10. Competencies for leadership were the 25 set out in the RDF (appendix B). All 25 leadership competencies were selected by respondents to some extent.

Table 7 summarises respondents' top ten priorities by gender. There was large agreement on three priority competencies for inclusion in leadership development programmes: subject knowledge, responsibility and mentorship (shown in bold). However, in selecting priorities there were noticeable gender differences: all other priorities differed by gender.

Table 7 Survey response: comparison by gender of top 10 competencies by RDF domain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDF domain (group of competencies)</th>
<th>Top 10 priorities for women respondents</th>
<th>Top 10 priorities for men respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Knowledge and intellectual abilities</td>
<td>Subject knowledge Evaluating</td>
<td>Subject knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) Personal effectiveness</td>
<td>Responsibility Self-reflection</td>
<td>Responsibility Enthusiasm Reputation and esteem Preparation and prioritisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) Research governance and organisation</td>
<td>Project planning and delivery</td>
<td>Income and funding generation Infrastructure and resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Engagement, influence and impact</td>
<td>Mentoring Team working People management Influence and leadership Publication</td>
<td>Mentoring Policy and impact Public engagement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Women respondents' top 10 included more competencies concerned with working effectively with others (team-working, people management, influence and leadership and self-reflection), whereas that of men prioritised more competencies concerned with research development and impact (reputation and esteem; income and funding generation; infrastructure and resources; policy; public engagement).

3.4.4 Highest priority competencies

Subject knowledge was selected as number one priority by a large margin (53% of respondents). The next group of responses for highest priority covered leadership of self and others – self-reflection, responsibility, people management and mentoring (39% in total). Research leadership activities – income and funding generation, publications, project management and planning were seen as number one priority by the remaining 8%.

Although low as first choices, the above research leadership activities featured strongly as respondents' second priority (50% of total responses) Leadership of self and others - including enthusiasm, team working and networking – totalled 35% as second choices.

3.4.5 Important elements to include in research leadership development

Using themes from a Vitae research report ‘Developing the next generation: guidance and good practice in the leadership development of early career researchers and academics'
respondents accorded high importance to a number of elements: working with others (85%); building a network (85%); achieving work/life balance (77%); building a research profile (71%); career planning for leadership (68%); and finding mentors and role models (64%).

Whilst ‘research cultural environment’ was scored very important by fewer than half respondents (41%), it was also scored by 48% as important. The closeness of important and very important scores was exceptional.

Of the gender differences (figure 7) the most significant was in relation to ‘building a research profile’, which was considered very important by a higher proportion of men.

![Graph showing gender differences in research leadership development](image)

**Figure 7 Survey response by gender: elements in research leadership development: % ‘very important’**

3.4.6 Delivery of leadership development

Respondents considered a variety of interventions for development of research leaders, as identified from the DELTAS leaders’ focus group. As shown in figure 8, a broad range of interventions were seen as highly relevant to research leadership development: at least 50% of respondents agreed strongly on the importance of each. Mentoring gained the strongest agreement, followed by a closely grouped cluster of interventions: coaching; growth from leading self to leading others; leadership training and development programmes; learning new skills and capabilities; and space to develop leadership responsibilities.
Figure 8 Survey response: leadership development intervention preferences. Source of interventions: DELTA leaders’ focus group

A higher percentage of women responded with strong agreement to ‘space to experience leadership responsibilities’ (70% women; 58% men), as well as ‘provision of growth assignments’ (55% women; 50% men), suggesting the value of experiential development opportunities within research to women researchers in particular. Men responded with 5% stronger agreement than women to ‘coaching’, ‘early identification of leadership potential’ and ‘incremental development’.

3.4.7 Existing provision of leadership development programmes
40% of respondents were not aware of any leadership development programmes for researchers at their institution. The majority of programmes reported focused on early career researchers: 47% of respondents were aware of such provision. However, only 15% of respondents were aware of programmes that spanned more than one career stage. 12% reported programmes for mid-career researchers and just 8% provision for senior researchers.

Programmes were very often delivered in collaboration with other organisations (42%). 32% indicated that programmes were delivered by internal staff; 29% by external providers.
3.4.8 Themes in free-text responses

**Continuous path of leadership development**

Many free text responses referred to the need for continuous development of research leaders. Recommendations included learning by doing, clear mechanisms for identifying leadership potential, support for emerging leaders at key transitions, continuous training and development for independent researchers, and motivation of research grant holders to stimulate others.

*“Leadership is a process, a learning path, the more exposure one gets ....the more chances to keep up and improve.”*

Survey respondent

**Developing local opportunities for early career researchers**

Recommendations for leadership development of early career researchers particularly focused on interventions that are deliverable within the local research environment, such as:

- opportunities for personal professional growth including exercising leadership, taking responsibility, being a chair, attending meetings, networking and placements, peer reviewing, motivation and encouragement
- small grants, awards, competitions
- programmes starting with time, financial management, communication and people skills
- contact with inspirational leaders, role models, and mentoring.

*“It is about a combination of measures not one isolated measure or short-term initiative.”*

Survey Respondent

Many of these opportunities do not require a large research budget, but do have time implications.

**Requirements of institutions**

The following were cited as important in supporting research leader development:

- **Institutional leadership and culture** – buy-in from top management and collaborations
- **Governance, support structures and equal opportunity** – boards/committees, research offices/administration, research support systems, writing policy statements and guidelines, funding
- **Integrated programmes** – mentoring, coaching and leadership development programmes for all career stages including postgraduate students and ECRs
- **Staffing** – develop expert mentors, trainers, recruit and support good young talent
- **Building/space/equipment** – funding and adequate space/labs plus power supply
- **Technical** – ICT including internet connection.

Responses about institutional structures for research leaders to better manage their research and researchers had much in common with the above. Research support
structures that helped grow research capacity and free up research leader time for own research and leadership of others were much mentioned.

**Ensuring gender balance**

"Institutional structures must provide equal and equitable support for researchers otherwise there will always be a gender imbalance...."

Survey respondent

Responses clustered around five key areas: policies; resources for women; parity/fairness; training provision; university structures.

There were also some comments about harassment of women researchers and the need for support for other minority groups. Some of the comments urging the development and implementation of equality policies specified that these were important institution-wide.

4. Emerging themes

4.1 Conceptions of African research leadership

Our findings confirmed that research leadership embraces leadership of research, self and others. Whilst subject knowledge is fundamental in developing and leading research excellence, participants also emphasised key relational competencies in the areas of leading self and leading others. Being a role model and developing vision and strategy were especially highly valued. Crucially, African research leaders must contribute to research globally while acting as leaders locally. They are driven by simultaneous concerns with developing international competitiveness and local research impact. The African context thus has special characteristics: its emergent research leadership requires leaders to be relations-oriented — related to community and not self-serving, with an increasing emphasis and focus on societal impact.

The relational style of leadership that was identified by research leaders in Africa is appropriate; consistent with the goals of developing research excellence and using it for the common good of society and community.

The views of men and women participants have commonalities and differences. These should inform models and strategies for the future of African research leadership (discussed below).
4.2 Leadership development as a pathway
There was high consensus around the developmental experiences and transition points on the pathway to research leadership. Leadership development is well understood as a pathway throughout a research career rather than a one-off event. Researchers’ journeys along the pathway are all different: it is not a uniform, linear route. The developmental experiences and support mechanisms along that pathway are identified sometimes as existing in the local research environment, but more often as scarce or lacking.

All types of participant see self-development towards research leadership as a process that requires personal characteristics and motivation combined with support from other sources, principally more senior/experienced researchers as mentors and leaders, institutional structures, and funded external opportunities such as fellowships and exchanges.

4.2.1 Need for strategic leadership development pathways
Lack of institutional/governmental investment in research and high competition for external opportunities are major factors behind the lack of structured leadership development routes for the great majority of researchers. Few institutional training programmes span all career stages and relevant external training options are limited.

4.3 Lack of institutional support: impact on the next generation
The picture that emerges from our findings is of research leaders pulled in different directions, with inadequate or no administrative support for grant applications, management and other functions of research offices and as a result, frequently unable to give sufficient supervision and support time to their early career researchers (ECRs). ECRs themselves may also lack adequate time to focus on developing their research expertise, due, for example to the extent of teaching duties. Coupled with a lack of local opportunities for experiential learning and formal training, this leaves many ECRs with inadequate opportunity to develop their potential as future research leaders. It was also observed that postdoctoral positions – an important opportunity to develop both research expertise and broader leadership-related competencies – are uncommon in Africa.

4.4 Connecting researchers
The value attached to mentoring that runs as a constant theme in our findings, and the prominence given to support for developing subject excellence, reflect the isolation many researchers feel, working in research systems that are relatively small and not well networked.

Flagship collaborative programmes involving two or more African institutions to build research expertise, leadership and talent exist, but their current reach is limited. Many talented, ambitious researchers are still reliant on North-South collaborations and learning opportunities to further their career development.

4.4.1 Researcher ‘visibility’
Researchers have a particular need for ‘visibility’ of their research to have impact, find collaborators, and advance their careers. The sense of research isolation and lack of
networks and suitable mentors that emerged in our findings is linked to this. There is a need to support ‘visibility’ for researchers, especially for women, as confirmed by interviews with research leaders. A challenge for institutions is to create leadership development approaches, especially if the institution is itself not well-networked in the relevant areas.

4.5 Challenges facing women
Gender-sensitive policies and incentives for women researchers are in place and in further development at funder level, but appear to be rare at institutional level, as do effective talent management practices. Factors such as discriminatory employment practices and cultural and social expectations that women put home and family before career considerations impede the development of talented women researchers. At institutional level, such discrimination might mean reduced access to experiential learning opportunities such as project leadership. Uptake of externally funded opportunities such as mobility programmes in lower numbers than men is linked to lower self-belief that research leadership is attainable, impeded by obligations towards home and family as well as employment barriers.

4.6 Broad-based approaches to leadership development
Much of current formal research leadership provision focuses on the early career stage and on research-related competencies such as academic writing and research ethics. Respondents reported little institutional provision designed to support transition points beyond that of achieving the doctorate, and funder programmes such as the FLAIR programme (which provides mid-career support) do not reach large numbers of researchers. Development of competencies related to leadership of self and others are largely the preserve of general leadership programmes unrelated to the research sector.

Respondents endorsed a broad range of development approaches, the most popular being mentoring and coaching, leadership programmes and space to experience leadership responsibilities. Associated with the latter is support for growth from leading self to leading others, incremental development, and learning new skills and capabilities. From an institutional perspective this suggests that it is not enough to provide development programmes in isolation, these interventions need to be supported by experiential learning of leadership in the research environment and support through mentoring and coaching, role models and case studies, and learning from leaders in other sectors.

4.6.1 Role of frameworks
Frameworks such as the RDF were valued to better understand what research leadership requires, and help benchmark existing individual strengths and areas for development. Such models may be particularly valuable if they can also be used to support more strategic approaches at organisational levels, as tools to help assess need and evaluate provision.

4.7 Limitations and areas for further study

4.7.1 International aspects of research leadership development
Interview participants differentiated two routes to international recognition; one through becoming a research leader and the other through becoming an ‘international researcher’. Of course, research leaders can be both, but the degree of interdependence of the two terms may have implications for the career path choices of researchers. The extent to which international experience is necessary to become a research leader requires further
exploration, as it has implications for the local in-country development of research leaders, and the inclusion of women and others for whom mobility is challenging.

4.7.2 Conception and role of mentoring

We did not distinguish between the roles of ‘mentors’ and ‘sponsors’ in our research design; nor was this probed in the interviews or focus groups. Few participants had experience of formal mentorship programmes, and discussions of informal mentoring experienced by participants revealed both advice-giving (mentorship) and advocacy (sponsorship). Nor were any distinctions explored between different types of mentors (such as own supervisor/research leader versus a researcher at a greater distance from one’s own research). A more nuanced understanding of the role of mentorship could be useful when developing leadership development strategies.

4.7.3 Cultural differences across Africa

A study of this size, conducted across mostly Anglophone Africa, is necessarily limited in its reach. Congruence in findings in our qualitative research between interviewees and focus groups in Anglophone Africa and the Cote d’Ivoire gives some initial confidence in the general applicability of the models we recommend. However, this would need further testing in non-Anglophone countries.

4.7.4 Equity issues beyond gender

The project asked participants to comment on gender balance issues and was not focused on other forms of inequality. In focus groups and survey free text answers a small number of comments were made about race inequality being important as well as gender equality. No other types of inequality were mentioned.

5. Conclusions and recommendations

5.1 Need for systems approaches to research leadership development

Leadership training programmes should be integrated into a broader strategy for leadership development through practical experiences, leadership opportunities and mentoring with balanced career development planning, focusing not only on excellent research but also on excellent relational leadership of self and others. Models should be gender inclusive. There are potential resourcing advantages to broad systems approaches over models based wholly or largely on training programmes: this is discussed in appendix G.
5.2 What constitutes a suitable training programme?
The training programmes we reviewed mainly concentrated on conventional research or leadership training but not both. When procuring leadership programmes, institutions should consider whether they cover the breadth as well as the depth of research leadership development. Below (5.4) we offer a model of African research leadership designed to help assess the ‘best fit’ of training programmes to researchers’ needs, inform the development of leadership development programmes though a competency-based approach and facilitate the evaluation of programmes as contributing to institutional goals and value for money.

5.3 Transition points in developing as a leader
Research leaders identified key career transition points as they progressed from doing a doctorate to leading others to leading other leaders and leading organisations. It is important in developing leaders that as they go through each transition stage they are given the opportunity to prepare by reassessing their values, tasks and time, and to transition from personal focus to community focus and the ‘common good’. Such opportunities were not offered to current research leaders, who had to manage themselves through the process; some reporting that they had never caught up, for example, on work-life balance. Regarding leadership development as a continuous process with transition points where extra time and support are required would help leaders settle into their roles more effectively.

5.4 A potential model for African research leadership
Analysis of our findings on the competencies required to be a successful research leader in Africa has led us to develop a new model for research leadership development, one that balances research expertise, functional skills and relational competencies, and that is inclusive for both men and women researchers in Africa. This T-shaped model (figure 9) applied to research leadership includes both depth of subject knowledge and methodologies and breadth of priority relational competencies as reported by participants. Our model takes account of different gender viewpoints on these competencies in the path to research leadership in Africa, enabling the development of gender-inclusive programmes. The elements of the model are selected from competencies in the RDF, reflecting those most valued by participants and survey respondents.
The design of the 'T-shaped' model gives visual form to key goals of African research leadership reported by participants: developing research excellence (vertical column) and applying it for the common good of society and community (horizontal). Competencies prioritised by participants are divided into three elements: 1. People and communities; 2. Personal qualities; 3. Research expertise.

The central vertical ‘pillar’ denotes depth in research expertise, which was consistently regarded as a requirement for ‘credibility’ in research leadership; a quality that continues to grow over time. Whilst research training is important in the beginning of a research career and forms life-long learning for a researcher it does not fully equip researchers for leadership responsibilities further into their careers.

Participants recognised that focus on research excellence does not guarantee effective leadership of self and others. In developing research leaders, it is important to develop both deep functional disciplinary skills and expertise in research and a broad range of other qualities associated with leadership. A balanced approach to research leadership development is required. One of the strengths of conceptualising research leadership development in a ‘T’ structure is that it enables users to flexibly move in and out of the depth and breadth, and so for a time a researcher may be focussed on deepening research and functional skills and at another time developing a broader range of relational skills. In progression to research leadership, individuals can cycle through breadth and depth depending on current needs or indeed work on both at the same time.

Leadership development requires a multiple interventions of which training programmes are a part: a rethinking of leadership development within the research environment through experiential learning, good mentoring and appropriate HR practices are also required. The model can inform all these practices: it provides an assessment tool for deciding on the
focus of any intervention and checking if it is fit for purpose. For example, when institutions are considering the development or procurement of leadership development programmes the model can help define the requirements for and evaluation of programmes.

The proposed model also enables research organisations to consider inclusive research leadership for the future, reflecting perceptions of where research leadership is now but also looking ahead. Broadly, we found the priority competencies of men participants to be the more focused on research-related skills and external impact, whereas the priority competencies of women – with their greater emphasis on team leadership – present a people-orientated approach to research leadership, potentially pointing to what is required in a more inclusive future research climate. The proposed model takes account of both perspectives.

5.5 A potential model for accelerated research leadership development
We have noted that capacity issues determine the shape and success of research leadership development. A systems approach to leadership development has broader management implications than a narrower training programme model. In planning for novel, multi-faceted, approaches there is value in evaluating models from the literature of leadership development that may support development and change.

Having considered a number of models we offer the one outlined in figure 10 as a possible framework. It is a seven-pronged process for leadership development that institutions and funders may find useful in assessing research leadership development capability and developing capacity.

![Figure 10 Model of accelerated research leadership development, adapted from Wichert (2018)](image-url)
Table 8 Project outcomes mapped to Wichert’s accelerated development model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model of accelerated leadership development</th>
<th>Outcomes of the project</th>
<th>Institutional actions for integrated systems approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of potential</td>
<td>There were no formal mechanisms reported for assessing leadership potential - mainly left to research leaders' perceptions of potential, lack of transparency for researchers</td>
<td>Institutions provide transparent formal policies and guidance for the identification of leadership potential of researchers irrespective of gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing breadth of job experience</td>
<td>A strong recommendation from participants was to engage more in leadership activities in the workplace and have opportunities for travel, secondments and conferences to broaden experience</td>
<td>Research leaders consider how they can provide diverse supported experiential learning of leadership tasks for all researchers, even when mobility is not possible; consider what experiences can be provided through local collaborations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appetite for risk taking by high potential employees and organisations</td>
<td>Research leaders reported entrepreneurial activities in making collaborations and making decisions about e.g. partnerships for ‘best interests’</td>
<td>Whilst risk in research is tightly controlled, decision-making around networks, collaborators, recruitment, funding carries risk. Engage researchers in more transparent decision-making to build confidence in managing risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing through on the job learning</td>
<td>Researcher leaders learned ‘on the job’; researchers want more leadership experiences in their jobs and mentors to guide them</td>
<td>Researchers given opportunities to experience leadership competencies, encouraged to reflect and learn from leadership styles/behaviours around them. Mentoring focused on leadership as well as research development. Training of mentors may be beneficial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing consolidation phases</td>
<td>Researchers and leaders advocate training programmes, mentoring and experiential learning in the work place</td>
<td>Alignment of training and experiential learning in the workplace provides both development and consolidation, supported by mentoring – an integrated approach linking training, experience and mentoring</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model of accelerated leadership development</th>
<th>Outcomes of the project</th>
<th>Institutional actions for integrated systems approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to reflect and actively extract learning from past experiences</td>
<td>Mentoring was important to all, and the most popular request from participants; reflection and learning from others should be a fundamental aspect of mentoring</td>
<td>Strengthen the use of mentoring to allow reflection and learning, training mentors in techniques to enable this, enabling the next generation to learn good mentoring practices for leadership development from experience for culture change and sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to various sources of support</td>
<td>Key concerns were lack of support for research management, funding from institutions, and managing research time with heavy diverse workloads</td>
<td>Institutions consider functional research management and financial support for researchers, along with workload planning to enable emerging research leaders to develop their skills</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Wichert (Accelerated leadership development, (2018))

Table 8 illustrates how the project outcomes map against the seven elements of Wichert’s model and the institutional actions needed to achieve accelerated development.

5.6 A centre of excellence for research leadership
Programmes that are centrally developed and tailored for local need and delivery have the advantage of making use of scarce expertise while responding to particular local requirements. This may be especially important for the development of local research leaders who may not benefit from experiences outside their home region but are leaders all the same. A model of regional collaboration and exchange was suggested to broaden leaders’ experiences and develop local capacity. This recommended the establishment of a
‘centre of excellence for research leadership development in Africa’ to lead and advise institutions on good practice in capacity building and the development of research leaders at local level. This would operate on a hub and spoke model in order to maximise reach in different parts of Africa. It could, for example, develop best practice, fund demonstration/flagship programmes as models for institutions, enable cross-fertilisation of people and ideas, and develop local delivery expertise. A centre of excellence would be well placed to facilitate sustainable and future-orientated approaches to research leadership development at institutional/multi-institutional level.

5.7 Evaluating effectiveness
The inclusion in research leadership models of developing self and others along with research excellence poses challenges for conventional methods of evaluating the effectiveness of research leadership development. It would be possible to establish an evaluation model, but this is currently outside the scope of this project. Going forward it will be important to identify appropriate evaluation criteria for leadership development interventions to determine cost-benefit of both research leadership capacity building and achievement of organisational goals in addition to metrics of numbers trained and research output achieved.

5.8 Recommendations
To accelerate world-class research, foster innovation, build linkages with policy makers and promote scientific leadership in Africa, greater emphasis should be placed on the importance of investing in institutional, national and African capacity to accelerate sustainable research leadership.

5.8.1 Recommendations for institutions
- **Accelerated leadership** - take a strategic approach to accelerated relational leadership development of their researchers, including processes for identifying leadership potential, formal and informal development opportunities at all career stages, mentoring and coaching support, researcher career pathways, gender equality initiatives, funding and resources
- **African research leadership** - ensure all leadership development programmes integrate all aspects of the ‘T-shaped’ leadership model, i.e. research expertise, relational leadership and development of leadership competencies
- **Gender inclusion and diversity** - give specific attention to how relational leadership development strategy and its implementation supports women researchers, given gender differences in preferred leadership styles, minority groups and any cultural specificities
- **Monitoring progress** - set up monitoring processes to evaluate the effectiveness of their leadership development programmes and the outcomes. This should include regular feedback from researchers and their managers
- **Data and evidence** - set up processes to collect and share ongoing data openly on the profile of researchers and their career paths, identifying and promoting role models describing their leadership development as incentives for other researchers.

5.8.2 Recommendations for all researchers
- **Career development** - take control at all stages of their career, using the ‘T-shaped’ model of leadership to reflect on their competencies with respect to relational leadership
and research expertise, identifying where they need to develop these further and investing the time to do so

- **Personal and professional development** - take advantage of all opportunities to develop leadership capabilities in both relational and research leadership through participating in specific development programmes, taking advantage of opportunities within their research activities and building their networks and research identity
- **Mentoring and networks** - actively seek out mentors who can support them in the development of their leadership capabilities and widen their networks.

### 5.8.3 Recommendations for research leaders/managers of researchers

- **Relational leadership** - provide opportunities for ECRs to develop their leadership capabilities alongside their research activities. This should include opportunities for example to apply for funding, access funding, broker international opportunities, attend and present at conferences, gain peer review experience, manage and supervise others, policy development, knowledge exchange, and get involved and/or lead public engagement activities
- **Personal and professional development** - actively encourage ECRs to reflect on their leadership competencies and activities, for example, during progress meetings and more formally through appraisal processes, where appropriate.
- **Networks and mentors** - use their own contacts and networks to facilitate the development of their ECRs’ networks and strengthen their relational leadership abilities.

### 5.8.4 Recommendations for funders and other enabling national and pan-African organisations

- **Funding programmes** - consider the balance and profile of funding schemes to ensure there are programmes to support relational leadership development at all stages of the researcher career through specific calls and leadership development opportunities integrated into their terms and conditions of grants, particularly focusing on building a gender-inclusive research environment
- **African Centre of Excellence for Research Leadership** - invest specific funding to catalyse the implementation of accelerated relational leadership development programmes in institutions, encouraging the sharing of good practice and creation of targeted leadership resources through the development of an African Centre of Excellence for Research Leadership, using a ‘hub and spoke’ model to maximise engagement
- **African research leadership programme** - invest in a flagship Africa-led relational leadership development programme based on the accelerated leadership model to support Africa’s rising stars, promote the importance of nurturing research talent and provide an exemplary model for institutions
- **Data and evidence** - set up processes to collect and share ongoing data openly on the profile of researchers in Africa and their career paths, identifying and promoting role models and describing their leadership development as incentives for other researchers.

---

1 See literature review, appendix D: Curry et al, 2012; E.K. Niemczyk, 2018; Owusu et al., 2017
Building PhD capacity in Sub-Saharan Africa, except where otherwise stated: https://www.britishcouncil.org/sites/default/files/h233_07_synthesis_report_final_web.pdf
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